

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369204376>

Is There a Link between the 2021 COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake in Europe and 2022 Excess All-Cause Mortality?

Article · January 2023

DOI: 10.21276/apjhs.2023.10.1.6

CITATIONS

0

READS

4,387

2 authors:



Jarle Aarstad

Høgskulen på Vestlandet

68 PUBLICATIONS 1,082 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Olav Andreas Kvitastein

Høgskulen på Vestlandet

31 PUBLICATIONS 515 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Writing project: In the footprints of evolutionary economic geography [View project](#)



Market orientation and entrepreneurship: Understanding central factors behind market orientation in the early start-up phase. [View project](#)

Is There a Link between the 2021 COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake in Europe and 2022 Excess All-Cause Mortality?

Jarle Aarstad*, Olav Andreas Kvitastein

ABSTRACT

Purpose: We primarily study a possible link between 2021 COVID-19 vaccination uptake in Europe and monthly 2022 excess all-cause mortality, that is, mortality higher than before the pandemic. **Methods and Results:** Analyses of 31 countries weighted by population size show that all-cause mortality during the first 9 months of 2022 increased more the higher the 2021 vaccination uptake; a one percentage point increase in 2021 vaccination uptake was associated with a monthly mortality increase in 2022 by 0.105% (95% CI, 0.075–0.134). When controlling for alternative explanations, the association remained robust, and we discuss the result emphasizing causality as well as potential ecological fallacy. Furthermore, the study shows that 2021 all-cause mortality was lower the higher the vaccination uptake, but this association became non-significant when controlling for alternative explanations. **Conclusion:** Despite a possible preventive effect in 2021, we cannot rule out that COVID-19 vaccination uptake in Europe has led to increasing 2022 all-cause mortality between January and September.

Keywords: All-cause mortality, Causal inferences, COVID-19, Ecological fallacy, Excess mortality, Individualistic fallacy, Vaccination
Asian Pac. J. Health Sci., (2023); DOI: 10.21276/apjhs.2023.10.1.6

INTRODUCTION

According to Eurostat, the EU experienced excess all-cause mortality in the first 9 months of 2022, that is, the mortality was higher than the average of the same months between 2016 and 2019, before COVID-19.^[1] In this study, our primary aim is to investigate if the pattern – 2022 nation-level monthly all-cause mortality relative to pre-pandemic numbers – can be linked to nation-level COVID-19 vaccination uptake by the end of the previous year, 2021.

Although research has indicated that COVID-19 vaccination has prevented SARS-CoV-2-related hospital admission and deaths,^[2-4] its preventive effect has waned.^[5] Furthermore, COVID-19 vaccination has side effects,^[6-9] such as myocarditis and pericarditis,^[10,11] and along with this knowledge, it is worth noting that a recent study falsified a suspected association between the two diagnoses and COVID-19 virus infection.^[12] Coining this information with research showing that vaccine side effects generally tend to be underreported,^[13] we ask if COVID-19 vaccination may be associated with long-term mortality. To study this potential link, we regress all-cause mortality in the first 9 months of 2022 (relative to pre-pandemic levels) on vaccination uptake in 31 European countries by the end of 2021. The 31 countries are the EU member states, plus Norway, Iceland, Liechtenstein, and Switzerland. The estimates are weighted by each country's population size by January 01, 2020. Furthermore, we include an interaction term between vaccination uptake and the time that has passed since the beginning of 2022. Our motive is to assess if a potential link between vaccination uptake and all-cause mortality has changed over time (To further illuminate the study's topic, the Appendix illustrates the association between 2021 vaccination uptake and all-cause mortality the same year relative to pre-pandemic levels).

In the following, we present the materials and methods in detail and report the empirical results. Then, we discuss the findings, address the study's limitations, and suggest avenues for future research. When discussing the results and addressing study limitations, we particularly emphasize the issues of causal inference and ecological fallacy.

Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway.

Corresponding Author: Jarle Aarstad, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, PO Box 7030, NO-5020 Bergen, Norway. E-mail: jarle.aarstad@hvl.no

How to cite this article: Aarstad J, Kvitastein OA. Is There a Link between the 2021 COVID-19 Vaccination Uptake in Europe and 2022 Excess All-Cause Mortality? *Asian Pac. J. Health Sci.*, 2023;10(1):25-31.

Source of support: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: None.

Received: 02/01/2023 **Revised:** 10/02/2023 **Accepted:** 17/02/2023

MATERIALS AND METHODS

As a dependent variable, we applied data from Eurostat, which models the countries' all-cause mortality each month divided by the average of the same month between 2016 and 2019 multiplied by 100.^[1] Finally, the expression is subtracted by 100, which implies that positive values indicate excess mortality and negative values indicate submortality. Vaccination uptake is the percentage of the total population in each country that has received a "primary course" by week 52, 2021. We gathered the data from the COVID-19 vaccine tracker by the European Center for Disease Prevention and Control,^[14] except for Switzerland, where we used Our World in Data as a source^[15] [for details on countries' vaccination uptake and size in population, Table 1]. Each month in 2022 is modeled straightforwardly, where January takes the value of one, February two, etc.

We control for pre-COVID life expectancy in 2019 using Eurostat data^[16] as it is a proxy for health status in each country.^[17] Finally, we control for average all-cause mortality in 2020 and 2021 divided by the average between 2016 and 2019.^[18] Our motive for the control variable is that relatively high mortality in 2020 and 2021 may induce relatively low mortality in 2022 and *vice versa*. On the other hand, relatively high mortality in 2020 and 2021 may indicate a deteriorating health status not captured by pre-COVID life expectancy. For consistency, we multiply the measure by 100.

Below, we first present descriptive statistics and correlations. Next, in our statistical models, we apply linear multilevel mixed-effects random intercept regression analyses, where monthly observations are nested at the country level.^[19,20] As noted, observations are weighted according to the population size by January 01, 2020, and we carry out all analyses in Stata 17.0.^[21]

RESULTS

Table 2 reports minimum values, maximum values, descriptive statistics, and correlations. We observe strong correlations between vaccination uptake and the control variables average 2020–2021 mortality and life expectancy, which may cause problems with multicollinearity.^[22] Later, we explain how we deal with the issue.

Model 1, Table 3, shows that vaccination uptake has a non-significant direct association with the dependent variable, but the significant monthly association indicates that the overall mortality tends to increase over time. The interaction between vaccination uptake and time passed in months since the beginning of 2022 (V*M) is strongly significant and implies that the mortality increases the higher the vaccination uptake. Specifically, it shows that a one percentage point increase in 2021 vaccination uptake is

associated with an increase in 2022 monthly mortality by 0.105% (95% CI, 0.075–0.134).

We mean-center the variables to minimize multicollinearity problems,^[23] and the variance inflation factor (VIF) taking a value of one concerning the month variable and the interaction term shows that multicollinearity is not a problem. However, the VIF concerning the vaccination uptake taking a value of 5.17 may be problematic. To amend the issue, we omit the control variables in Model 2 and observe that all the VIFs are now low. Furthermore, the remaining estimates and their error terms are not much altered.

As robustness checks, in Models 3 and 4, we add an interaction term between each control variable and the month variable at a time. We observe that statistical conclusions concerning the independent variables and their interaction term are unaltered. The only exception is vaccination uptake showing a borderline significant association with the dependent variable in Model 3. Model 5 replicates the first model except that it excluded July when a heat wave occurred. The overall increasing monthly association is now borderline significant, but the interaction term association remains robust. Finally, we carried out unreported analyses controlling for 2018 nation-level median age and 2019 per-capita GDP adjusted for purchasing power, respectively, as done in Models 3 and 4, but without altering any statistical conclusion (analyses are available on request).

Figure 1a-c, based on Model 1, Table 3, concludes the findings. It indicates increasing all-cause excess mortality [Figure 1a], and more strikingly, the increase is higher the higher the national vaccination uptake [Figure 1b]. As noted, a one percentage point increase in 2021 vaccination uptake is associated with an increase in 2022 monthly mortality by 0.105% (95% CI, 0.075–0.134). In countries with relatively high vaccination uptakes, which include numerous of Europe’s states and the most populous [Figure 1d, based on Table 1], the result estimates a mortality increase [Figure 1c]. In countries with relatively low vaccination uptakes, the result estimates a low or even negative mortality increase [Figure 1c].

DISCUSSION

This study shows that the all-cause mortality during the first 9 months of 2022 in 31 European countries increased more the higher the 2021 vaccination uptake. The association is strongly significant [Table 3], but to make causal inferences, it is further necessary to (1) rule out reverse causality and (2) account for alternative explanations.^[23] Below we discuss both issues. Furthermore, we discuss our study in light of potential (3) ecological fallacy, which is a “failure in reasoning that arises when an inference is made about an individual based on aggregate data for a group.”^[24]

Concerning potential reverse causality (1), the timing of the independent and dependent variables is crucial. Relating the timing condition to our study, we find it unreasonable, actually

Table 1: Vaccination uptake and countries’ population size

Country	Vaccination uptake	Population
Bulgaria	27.7	6951482
Romania	40.8	19328838
Slovakia	48.5	5457873
Croatia	53.3	4058165
Slovenia	55.6	2095861
Poland	55.8	37958138
Hungary	61.0	9769526
Estonia	61.3	1328976
Czechia	62.2	10693939
Latvia	64.9	1907675
Liechtenstein	65.4	38747
Lithuania	65.7	2794090
Greece	66.3	10718565
Switzerland	67.1	8606033
Netherlands	67.6	17407585
Luxembourg	68.2	626108
Sweden	69.3	10327589
Cyprus	69.4	888005
Austria	70.4	8901064
Germany	72.8	83166711
Norway	73.0	5367580
France	73.3	67320216
Italy	74.9	59641488
Spain	74.9	47332614
Finland	75.0	5525292
Belgium	76.2	11522440
Iceland	76.4	364134
Ireland	76.6	4964440
Denmark	78.7	5822763
Malta	82.1	514564
Portugal	83.1	10295909

Table 2: Descriptive statistics and correlations weighted by countries’ population size

Min.	Max.	Mean	SD	Variable	1	2	3	4
-25.4	53.9	9.98	7.24	Month. 2022 mort. rel. to 2016–19 avg. (1)				
101.4	126.1	112.5	5.58	Avg. 2020–2021 mort. rel. to 2016–2019 (2)	0.016			
75.1	84.3	81.5	2.47	Life expectancy in 2019 (3)	0.113†	-0.620***		
1	9	5	2.59	Month number in 2022 (4)	0.256***	0	0	
27.7	83.1	68.7	10.3	Vaccination uptake by the end of 2021	0.071	-0.742***	0.857***	0

Two-tailed tests of significance. † $P < 0.10$; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ $n = 248$

Table 3: Multi-level mixed-effects random intercept linear regressions with robust standard errors and 2022 monthly all-cause mortality compared to 2016–19 monthly averages as the dependent variable. Weighted by countries' population size

Fixed effects	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5 ^a
Intercept	9.98*** (0.439)	9.98*** (0.481)	9.98*** (0.423)	9.98*** (0.463)	9.21*** (0.470)
Vaccination uptake by the end of 2021 (V)	0.016 (0.105) [5.17]	0.050 (0.048) [1.00]	0.130† (0.067) [2.23]	-0.066 (0.077) [2.76]	0.004 (0.105) [5.18]
Month number in 2022 (M)	0.716** (0.262) [1.00]	0.716** (0.262) [1.00]	0.716** (0.249) [1.00]	0.716** (0.258) [1.00]	0.484† (0.280) [1.00]
Avg. 2020–2021 mort. rel. to 2016–2019 (A)	0.190 (0.137) [2.23]		0.198† (0.117) [2.23]		0.105 (0.146) [2.23]
Life expectancy 2019 (L)	0.538† (0.318) [3.77]			0.565 (0.346) [3.76]	0.210 (0.333) [3.77]
V*M	0.105*** (0.015) [1.00]	0.105*** (0.015) [1.00]	0.090*** (0.022) [2.23]	0.111** (0.032) [3.76]	0.091*** (0.015) [1.01]
A*M			-0.037 (0.048) [2.23]		
L*M				-0.031 (0.151) [3.76]	
Random effects					
Residual	38.3 (7.88)	38.3 (7.88)	38.1 (8.08)	38.3 (7.96)	28.5 (5.62)
Country effect	1.52 (2.43)	2.53 (2.65)	2.00 (2.59)	2.02 (2.64)	2.39 (2.31)
Wald χ^2	128.2***	134.0***	191.1***	179.5***	109.0***
Log pseudo-likelihood	-1.35e10	-1.36e10	-1.36e10	-1.36e10	-1.16e10

Estimates are weighted by country size in population size by January 1, 2020, and we report robust standard errors in parentheses. We report VIF: Variance inflation factors in brackets. For fixed effects, we report conservative two-tailed tests of significance. † $P < 0.10$; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; and *** $P < 0.001$. Models 1–4 have 279 monthly observations (nine monthly observations per each of the 31 countries). ^aModel 5 excludes July 2022 and has 248 monthly observations (eight observations per each of the 31 countries)

logically impossible, that increasing monthly all-cause mortality in 2022 could have occurred before and hence caused vaccination uptake in the previous year, 2021. Accordingly, *ceteris paribus* it is more likely to assume that 2021 vaccination uptake will have caused monthly increases in 2022 mortality than the other way around.

Concerning alternative explanations (2), we controlled for average all-cause mortality in 2020 and 2021 divided by the average between 2016 and 2019. The all-cause mortality during 2020 and 2021 was relatively low in countries with relatively high vaccination uptakes [Table 2], and had we not controlled for this issue, one could alternatively have argued for a so-called “bounce-back effect,” that is, relatively low mortality at one period is followed by relatively high mortality later, and *vice versa*. For example, the relatively high mortality in the 1st months of 2022 could have been due to relatively low mortality in the previous 2 years, but, as mentioned, we controlled for this issue. Moreover, we not only controlled for average 2020 and 2021 mortality relative to the average between 2016 and 2019, but we additionally included an interaction term between this variable and the month variable simultaneously with the interaction term between vaccination uptake and the month variable [Table 3, Model 3]. Despite this – in our opinion, conservative test – we still observed

a significant association between 2021 vaccination uptake and the 2022 monthly increase in all-cause mortality. Nonetheless, future research should extend the time frame beyond 2022's first 9 months to assess whether the relatively high all-cause mortality persists in countries with high vaccination uptakes. If so, there is even more reason to assume that there is a genuine association between 2021 COVID-19 vaccination uptake and 2022 excess mortality. Second, we controlled for 2019 pre-COVID life expectancy. The variable correlated positively with vaccination uptake and negatively with the other control variable – average 2020 and 2021 mortality relative to the 2016–2019 average mortality [Table 2]. Accordingly, the inclusion of pre-COVID life expectancy as a control variable partakes to rule out other potential alternative explanations of our findings. Furthermore, we included an interaction term between this variable and the month variable simultaneously with the interaction term between vaccination uptake and the month variable, but without altering any statistical conclusion [Table 3, Model 4]. Finally, we carried out unreported analyses controlling for 2018 nation-level median age and 2019 per-capita GDP adjusted for purchasing power, respectively, as done in Models 3 and 4 [Table 3], but without altering any statistical conclusion (analyses are available upon request).

Concerning ecological fallacy (3), we are cautious about




Figure 1: Monthly estimated excess mortality (a) and estimated change in excess mortality as a function of national vaccination uptake with 95% Cis (b). Estimated excess mortality for vaccination uptake at 60% (blue), 75% (green), and 68.7% (red), which is the weighted European average, and actual excess mortality (yellow) (c). Vaccination uptake and population size (d). a-c are based on Model 1, Table 3, and d is based on Table 1.

making individual-level inferences from our nation-level findings. In other words, we emphasize that our study shows a positive association between 2021 nation-level vaccination uptake and 2022 nation-level monthly increase in excess mortality while ruling out reverse causality and accounting for alternative explanations. Having said that, it may be worth discussing ecological fallacy in general and the plausibility of making individual-level inferences from our nation-level findings, which we do in the following paragraphs.

First, we address the classical Robinson’s paradox.^[25] At a US state level, Robinson found that the illiteracy rate was lower the higher the foreign-born population rate, but at an individual level, foreign-borns had a higher probability of being illiterate than national-borns. An explanation of the paradox is that foreign-borns had a high proclivity to settle in states with high literacy rates, which illustrates a reverse causality. Returning to our study, we have argued that reverse causality is not a potential challenge to the results’ interpretation as the timing of the independent variable precedes the dependent variable.

Second, we address the Simpson’s paradox,^[26,27] which could imply that individual-level vaccination was associated with a monthly decrease in the individual-level mortality probability despite a positive association between the nation-level vaccination uptake and increased monthly mortality. However, Simpson’s paradox hinges on the omitted variable bias,^[28] or cross-level bias, which is the “difference between the expectation of an estimator from an ecological [group-level] study and the individual-level parameter of interest.”^[29] For example, the mortality may have increased in a high-vaccinated country, not because vaccination is detrimental to health, but because relatively few people died there in the previous 2 years. Similarly, the mortality may have decreased in a low-vaccinated country, not due to a lower health risk than in high-vaccinated countries, but because relatively many people

died there in the two previous years. Related to this argument, it is relevant to address that there, for some countries, in concert with relatively low mortality in the pandemic’s 2 years, was a mortality increase early in 2022, arguably due to the COVID-19 omicron variant.^[30] However, again, we emphasize having accounted for this issue by controlling for the 2020–2021 mortality (plus including other control variables, as discussed above). Furthermore, we have shown that the mortality particularly increased during the first nine months of 2022 in high-vaccination countries, while the mortality due to omicron abated early the same year.^[31]

Finally, we emphasize that individual-level studies, too, can be subject to fallacy.^[29,32] For example, collective vaccination status can be genuinely associated with reduced disease transmission. Accordingly, individual-level studies can be limited in capturing the association between collective vaccination status and health outcomes. In light of this argument, Loney and Nagelkerke^[29] assert that “even when the emphasis is clearly on the individual, with the ultimate objective of understanding the etiology of disease, ecological [group-level] analyses can be more “truthful” than individualistic analyses and may avoid a large portion of confounding on the individual level.” Subramanian, Jones^[32] similarly state that “meaningful analysis of individual-level relationships requires attention to substantial heterogeneity in state [or group-level] characteristics. The implication is that perils are posed by not only ecological fallacy but also individualistic fallacy.” To illustrate their point, they showed that the individual-level association between race and illiteracy in the US was biased in the absence of controlling for state-level heterogeneity. Hence, not only group-level studies but also individual-level ones can be subject to fallacy. Returning to our data, we accordingly acknowledge as a limitation that the association between nation-level vaccination uptake and excess mortality may not have fully captured an individual-level association, but, at the same time,

an individual-level association could also have been biased in the absence of controlling for higher-level heterogeneity.^[32] Therefore, we encourage future studies to address both levels simultaneously.

Other explanations of excess mortality than those studied in this paper can be delayed diagnosis or medical treatment during COVID-19, although we cannot see that the issues have been more prevalent in high-vaccination versus low-vaccination countries, that is, we do not expect delayed diagnosis or medical treatment during COVID-19 to substantially have induced omitted variable bias. Nonetheless, we encourage future studies to address the constructs as potential carrier of excess mortality, or eventually study them in concert with vaccination uptake.

Moreover, future research should assess if all-cause mortality in different age cohorts or between genders is a function vaccination uptake or if the type of vaccination has played a role. Similarly, future research should investigate if vaccination uptake or type of vaccination is associated with specific causes of death. Data from England and Wales show excess mortality from April 2022 across gender and age cohorts and several diagnoses.^[33] However, we do not know if the pattern can be attributed to vaccination uptake, type of vaccination, delayed diagnosis, delayed medical treatment, or if long-COVID^[34] has played a role, and future research should investigate these issues.

(The Appendix, carrying out pre-2022 analyses, illuminates a negative association between vaccination uptake and 2021 mortality relative to the 2016–2019 average mortality, which indicates a short-time preventive effect. However, the association becomes non-significant when including relevant controls. 2019 life expectancy, on the other hand, has a significant negative association with 2021 mortality. Finally, the association between 2019 life expectancy and vaccination uptake is strongly positive).

CONCLUSION

Analyses of 31 countries weighted by population size show that all-cause mortality during the first nine months of 2022 increased more the higher the 2021 vaccination uptake; a one percentage point increase in 2021 vaccination uptake was associated with a monthly mortality increase in 2022 by 0.105 percent (95% CI, 0.075–0.134). When controlling for alternative explanations, the association remained robust.

COPYRIGHT AND PERMISSION STATEMENT

We confirm that the materials included in this paper do not violate copyright laws. Where relevant, appropriate permissions have been obtained from the original copyright holder(s). All original sources have been appropriately acknowledged and/or referenced.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data used in this research is publicly available. On request, the corresponding author can provide raw data and Stata codes.

REFERENCES

1. Eurostat. Excess Mortality by Month. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/demo_mexrt/default/table?lang=en [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
2. Haas EJ, Angulo FJ, McLaughlin JM, Anis E, Singer SR, Khan F, *et al*. Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide vaccination campaign in Israel: An observational study using national surveillance data. *Lancet* 2021;397:1819–29.
3. Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, Robertson C, Stowe J, Tessier E, *et al*. Effectiveness of the Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccines on covid-19 related symptoms, hospital admissions, and mortality in older adults in England: Test negative case-control study. *BMJ Br Med J* 2021;373:n1088.
4. Watson OJ, Barnsley G, Toor J, Hogan AB, Winskill P, Ghani AC. Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: A mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022;22:1293–302.
5. Nordstrom P, Ballin M, Nordstrom A. Risk of infection, hospitalisation, and death up to 9 months after a second dose of COVID-19 vaccine: A retrospective, total population cohort study in Sweden. *Lancet* 2022;399:814–23.
6. Kadali RA, Janagama R, Peruru S, Malayala SV. Side effects of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine: A randomized, cross-sectional study with detailed self-reported symptoms from healthcare workers. *Int J Infect Dis* 2021;106:376–81.
7. Klugar M, Riad A, Mekhemar M, Conrad J, Buchbender M, Howaldt HP, *et al*. Side effects of mRNA-based and viral vector-based COVID-19 vaccines among German healthcare workers. *Biology (Basel)* 2021;10:752.
8. Finsterer J. Neurological side effects of SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2022;145:5–9.
9. Patone M, Mei XW, Handunnetthi L, Dixon S, Zaccardi F, Shankar-Hari M, *et al*. Risk of myocarditis after sequential doses of COVID-19 vaccine and SARS-CoV-2 infection by age and sex. *Circulation* 2022;146:743–54.
10. Karlstad Ø, Hovi P, Husby A, Härkänen T, Selmer RM, Pihlström N, *et al*. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and myocarditis in a Nordic cohort study of 23 million residents. *JAMA Cardiol* 2022;7:600–12.
11. Oster ME, Shay DK, Su JR, Gee J, Creech CB, Broder KR, *et al*. Myocarditis cases reported after mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccination in the US from December 2020 to August 2021. *JAMA* 2022;327:331–40.
12. Tuvali O, Tshori S, Derazne E, Hannuna RR, Afek A, Haberman D, *et al*. The incidence of myocarditis and pericarditis in post COVID-19 unvaccinated patients—a large population-based study. *J Clin Med* 2022;11:2219.
13. Lazarus R, Klompas M, Bernstein S. Electronic Support for Public Health-Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (ESP: VAERS). Grant Final Report, Grant ID: R18 HS. National Library of Medicine: Bethesda; 2010. p. 17045.
14. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. COVID-19 Vaccine Tracker. Available from: <https://vaccinetracker.ecdc.europa.eu/public/extensions/COVID-19/vaccine-tracker.html#uptake-tab> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
15. Our World in Data. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
16. Eurostat. Life Expectancy by Age and Sex. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/demo_mlexpec/default/table?lang=en [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
17. Roser M, Ortiz-Ospina E, Ritchie H. Our World in Data: Life Expectancy. Oxford, United Kingdom: University of Oxford; 2013. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/life-expectancy> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
18. Eurostat. Deaths and Crude Death Rate. Available from: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/tps00029/default/table?lang=en> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
19. Raudenbush SW, Bryk AS. Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2002.
20. Snijders TA. Multilevel analysis. In: Lovric M, editor. International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2011. p. 879–82.
21. StataCorp. Version 17. College Station: TX StataCorp LP; 2021.
22. O'Brien RM. A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation

factors. *Qual Quant* 2007;41:673-90.

23. Cook TD, McCleary R, McCain LJ, Reichardt CS, Fankhauser G, Campbell DT. *Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.; 1979.
24. Hsieh JJ. *Encyclopedia Britannica. Ecological Fallacy*; 2017. Available from: <https://www.britannica.com/science/ecological-fallacy> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
25. Robinson WS. Ecological correlations and the behavior of individuals. *Am Soc Rev* 1950;15:351-7.
26. Simpson EH. The interpretation of interaction in contingency tables. *J R Stat Soc Series B (Methodological)* 1951;13:238-41.
27. Blyth CR. On Simpson's paradox and the sure-thing principle. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1972;67:364-6.
28. Rothman KJ. A pictorial representation of confounding in epidemiologic studies. *J Chronic Dis* 1975;28:101-8.
29. Loney T, Nagelkerke NJ. The individualistic fallacy, ecological studies and instrumental variables: A causal interpretation. *Emerg Themes Epidemiol* 2014;11:18.
30. Folkehelseinstituttet. *Dødelighet i Norge Under Koronapandemien 2020 til Høsten 2022*. Available from: <https://www.fhi.no/contentassets/a5c83528acc94ddfbe815a5934faadf5/dodelighet-under-koronapandemien-2020-til-hosten-2022.pdf> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 23].
31. Statista. *Number of New Coronavirus (COVID-19) Deaths in Europe since February 2020*. [Available from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/1102288/coronavirus-deaths-development-europe> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
32. Subramanian SV, Jones K, Kaddour A, Krieger N. Revisiting Robinson: The perils of individualistic and ecologic fallacy. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:342-60.
33. Office for Health Improvements and Disparities. *Excess Mortality in England*. Available from: <https://app.powerbi.com/view?r=eyJrIjoiYmUwNmFhMjYtNGZhYS00NDk2LWFIMTAOTg0OGNhNmFiNGM0liwidCI6ImVINGUxNDk5LTRhMzUtNGlyZS1hZDQ3LTVmM2NmOWRlODY2NiIsImMiOj99> [Last accessed on 2022 Dec 20].
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Long COVID or Post-COVID Conditions*. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/long-term-effects/index.html> [Last accessed on 2022 Sep 01].

APPENDIX

Table A1 reports pre-2022 descriptive statistics and correlations weighted by countries' population size. In addition to the pre-2022 country-level variables we already reported on, it includes a variable measuring 2021 all-cause mortality relative to the 2016–2019 average mortality and one measuring 2020 all-cause mortality relative to the 2016–2019 average mortality.

We observe a strong negative correlation between vaccination uptake and 2021 mortality, which may indicate a preventive effect that year. Furthermore, we observe a strong positive correlation between pre-COVID life expectancy and 2021 mortality, which may indicate an alternative or complementary explanation to the potential vaccine effect. Finally, we observe a strong positive correlation between pre-COVID life expectancy and vaccination uptake.

Table A2 regresses 2021 mortality on vaccination uptake and pre-COVID life expectancy. Furthermore, it includes 2020

mortality (compared to the 2016–2019 average) as a control variable. The regression aims to assess whether vaccination uptake and pre-COVID life expectancy is genuinely associated with 2021 mortality. The model shows that both independent variables have negative associations with the dependent variable, but only the pre-COVID life expectancy association is significant. The non-significant association between vaccination uptake and 2021 mortality is in line with the largely non-significant direct association between vaccination and 2022 mortality [Table 3]. However, high VIFs concerning the independent variables may indicate multicollinearity problems, particularly since the model has only 31 country observations.^[22] Altogether, we conclude that vaccination uptake may have temporarily reduced mortality in 2021 (but not in 2022, where the monthly mortality increases the higher the vaccination uptake, as shown earlier in the study).

Table A1: Pre-2022 country-level descriptive statistics and correlations weighted by countries' population size

<i>Min.</i>	<i>Max.</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Variable</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
101.1	137.4	113.3	8.49	2021 mort. rel. to 2016–2019 avg. (1)				
99.6	120.7	111.8	5.20	2020 mort. rel. to 2016–2019 avg. (2)	0.331†			
101.4	126.1	112.5	5.59	Avg. 2020–2021 mort. rel. to 2016–2019 (3)	0.901***	0.707***		
75.1	84.3	81.5	2.47	Life expectancy in 2019 (4)	–0.845***	0.029	–0.620***	
27.7	83.1	68.7	10.3	Vaccination uptake by the end of 2021	–0.862***	–0.211	–0.742***	0.857***

Two-tailed tests of significance. † $P < 0.10$; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ $n = 31$

Table A2: Pre-2022 country-level linear weighted regressions with robust standard errors and 2021 all-cause mortality compared to the 2016–2019 average as a dependent variable

	<i>Coeff.</i>	<i>Beta</i>
Intercept	248.5*** (29.6)	
2020 mort. comp. to the 2016–2019 avg.	0.481** (0.152) [1.27]	0.295
Life expectancy in 2019	-2.14** (0.552) [4.55]	-0.633
Vaccination uptake by the end of 2021	-0.209 (0.149) [4.76]	-0.257
R-square/R-square adj.	0.854/0.837	
F-value	39.5***	

Two-tailed tests of significance. $n=31$. Estimates are weighted by country size in population size by January 01, 2020, and we report robust standard errors in parentheses. We report VIFs in brackets and beta values in the left column