

“The Covid-19 crisis will be seen in this respect as a small disturbance in comparison to a major cyberattack.” Klaus Schwab, Executive Chairman of the World Economic Forum

“As the crisis gets bigger, so does our ability to implement change.” Wolfgang Schäuble, German politician (CDU)

“We cannot even today imagine what 5G will enable.” Sebastian Tolstoy, Head of Ericsson Eastern Europe and Central Asia, General Manager of Ericsson Russia

“Cybercrime and global cooperation should be at the forefront of the global agenda [...] It requires a global response with strong private-public cooperation.” Klaus Schwab, Executive Chairman of the World Economic Forum

“We have to build these global networks specifically with the private sector.” Jürgen Stock, Secretary General of Interpol

“The government has got to get into a much deeper relationship with business and industry over this.” Tony Blair, former UK Prime Minister

“We are independent, we are neutral.” Troels Oerting Jorgensen, Head of the Centre for Cybersecurity, World Economic Forum

“Or will we need another crisis to unite us once again?” Alexander Tushkanov, Cyber Polygon moderator

“We are living in a digital economy, and the digital economy can only be one economy. It doesn't care about geographical borders. Global collaboration is the starting point, having global standards.” Sebastian Tolstoy, Head of Ericsson Eastern Europe and Central Asia, General Director of Ericsson Russia

“Governments can't keep pace with the speed of change. They can't keep pace with the technology. They fundamentally don't understand it. So this is going to call for a collaboration between the public and private sectors.” Jeremy Jurgens, Managing Director and Head of the Centre for the Fourth Industrial Revolution, World Economic Forum

“Who do you think collaborates better, and more effectively, cybercriminals or us, the good guys?” Alexander Tushkanov, Cyber Polygon moderator

“Are we talking about the need for some kind of censorship?” Vladimir Pozner Jr., journalist

***\*We remind you that ExpressZeitung is a German-language publication, but we are pleased to announce that English (digital) editions will soon be available.***

## **CYBER POLYGON (video narration)**

Let us look back at the events that unfolded in October 2019. More specifically, at Event 201. This tabletop exercise, or TTX, was conducted in New York by key participants with a background in politics, business and the media. It simulated a pandemic outbreak that accurately, and almost prophetically, anticipated what would happen in 2020 as the world woke up to the «Coronavirus» nightmare. This simulation was organised by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation along with the World Economic Forum and Johns Hopkins University. Issue 33 of ExpressZeitung looks back at the numerous and striking similarities between Event 201 and the world-shaking political events that ensued shortly after. Looking back, the «Corona Crisis» was in all likelihood being planned out before our very eyes as the exercise unfolded.

Could it be that Event 201 will not be the only prophetic exercise involving the World Economic Forum? In July 2020, Cyber Polygon, an annual simulation virtually identical to Event 201 was held for the third consecutive year. Underreported by the corporate media, it first garnered some attention in 2020, when it strangely appeared on the heavily regulated and rapidly diminishing YouTube platform.

Cyber Polygon is a joint project between the World Economic Forum's Centre for Cybersecurity, Sberbank, the largest bank in Russia, and its cybersecurity subsidiary [BI.ZONE](#). Partners in the simulation include Visa, Interpol, Ericsson and IBM.

Cyber Polygon was held shortly after the World Economic Forum unveiled its next brainchild: The Great Reset, a grandiose plan to change the face of humanity, ushering in a digital age in which the boundaries of man and machine are increasingly blurred. One of the darker implications of transferring every aspect of human life to the digital world is that it could easily open the floodgates to all kinds of cyber-enemies. As we point out in issue 15 (of the German edition), this brave new cyberworld could soon become a playground for hackers and fly-on-the-wall operatives. We would be nothing but sitting ducks, or fish trapped in a digital barrel where everything is hackable, trackable, erasable or vulnerable to scrutiny and manipulation. Straight out of the Hegelian dialectic playbook: The World Economic Forum has launched Cyber Polygon as their long-term solution to fend off cyber-attacks on the planetary digital infrastructure. Along the same lines, the Great Reset will further push digitalisation in all areas of life, heralding virtual reality as the only alternative.

The event or tabletop exercise revolves around the following question: As the "Corona Crisis" has shifted education, work and other activities into the digital domain, *how are we to protect the realm from cyber-attacks?* As we are about to see, the "Corona crisis" is seen by the participants as a necessary stepping stone towards more digitalisation.

From this perspective, the World Economic Forum admits to the obvious, namely that transferring every aspect of human life to the digital world makes us increasingly vulnerable to imminent and ever-emerging threats. In Hegelian dialectic terms, digitalisation is presented as the inevitable solution to solve the "Corona" problem.

According to the official narrative, sceptics have already lost the battle against the Corona

juggernaut that brooks no further argument as it ploughs on towards the new digital paradigm. Due to the threat posed by the virus, people have no other choice but to submit to social distancing and surrender their physical reality to an ever-encroaching New Normal inextricably knit with the Internet. Were it not for "Corona" the World Economic Forum would have little or no reason to call for an all-out effort to digitise human beings and their environment. Those who don't go along with it, arguing that the Old Normal ensures greater protection to their privacy, will have to counter the straw man that if things stay the same we are doomed to be swept away by the next pandemic: Ergo, we must go digital. The timing couldn't be better! What would have become of the World Economic Forum and its Great Reset had the "Corona crisis" never happened? At this point we should ask ourselves who stands to gain from this grand Machiavellian scheme?

In spite of the overwhelming flow of information the public is completely unaware of the true nature of Coronaviruses, as we have shown in issues 32, 38 and 39 (of the German edition). As previously mentioned, the key players in the ongoing response effort to the Covid-19 pandemic had gathered in New York in October 2019 to simulate the outbreak of a fictional Coronavirus. Are we simply dealing with an extraordinary coincidence here, or was it all planned by the same actors who now stand to gain from this major crisis? Namely the World Economic Forum and the larger power network that surrounds it. As we are about to see, the most tried-and-tested weapon in geopolitical strategy is to create a crisis, and Cyber Polygon is already preparing the public for the next one.

The exercise pits two teams against each other: The red and the blue team. The blue team protects the cyber-infrastructure while the red team tries to hack it. The results of the simulation are presented in obscure technical terminology, leading to a state of affairs in which the blue team fails to withstand the unrelenting attacks from its red nemesis. A situation that elicits a further tightening of cybersecurity. So far, so good. Still cryptic, but at least a little more intelligible to the layman is the commentary provided by Cyber Polygon's high-ranking movers and shakers from business, politics, media and government.

This introduction alone is probably enough to open one's eyes to the perception of Russia as the saviour of the West and opponent of the World Economic Forum and the elite interests it serves. ExpressZeitung has over the years alerted its readers in numerous articles about Russia and Putin as being part of the same global cabal. This exercise is only the tip of the iceberg, providing further evidence that Russia is plainly up to no good. The disproportionate narrative about the imminent threat posed by Russian hackers, often linked to the government, caters to the imagination of the masses, or so it would seem. Cyber Polygon makes it abundantly clear that Putin's Russia is a vital part of the Great Reset agenda. And the Great Reset is really just a repackaging of the United Nations 2030 Agenda, as we describe in Issue 33, available in German and later in the year also in English.

It's crystal clear: Russia and Putin are fully involved in the Great Reset – and probably more so than other nations. As the World Economic Forum would have it in its "Eight Predictions for the World in 2030", the USA is probably soon to step down as a superpower. The Forum considers the BRICS - Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa - to be the legitimate successors. The main contender, of course, being China.

Klaus Schwab strangely uses the phrase "a catalysing effect", highly reminiscent of the unusual phrase "catalysing event". So, why would he do that? In 1997 the think tank "Project for the New American Century" (or PNAC), was comprised of high-ranking members of the Bush administration. This cabal included Dick Cheney, later to become vice president, Donald Rumsfeld who became Defence Secretary and Paul Wolfowitz his

deputy secretary. In September 2000 an 80-page report entitled "Rebuilding America's Defences" was published by PNAC wherein the future policy outline was envisaged. It also considered challenges and obstacles to this policy and how they were to be mitigated. The report contained the following:

"Rebuilding America's defenses and achieving the desired shift in US policy could take a long time unless there was "a catastrophic and catalyzing event [...] like a new Pearl Harbor." This new Pearl Harbor occurred on 11 September 2001, the sixtieth anniversary of the laying of the Pentagon's foundation stone. Now Schwab - 20 years later - also speaks of a "catalysing effect". And by the way: We also discovered a reference back to this PNAC document in our video about Event 201. Can that really be a coincidence? The use of "catalysing event" or "catalysing effect" is hardly an expression one comes across in everyday speech, yet is to be found in the very document that anticipated 9/11.

Only in this way can we explain the matter: A secret language is used in the circles in which Schwab operates. A lingo incomprehensible to ordinary people, and which only insiders can fully understand. If the general public would be privy to what Schwab means by "catalysing effect", the scales would fall from their eyes.

We'll be happy to give you a hint: A «catalyst» is an agent that precipitates a process. So when Schwab says that the alleged Corona pandemic has a "catalysing effect", he is basically implying that it smooths the way for geopolitical objectives he has been pursuing for quite some time. As a marginal note, Schwab happened to be in New York during 9/11. Event 201, which prophetically anticipated a "Coronavirus pandemic", also played out in New York, and was duly backed by the World Economic Forum. Strange as it may seem, "Catalysing events" appear to follow Schwab no matter where he goes.

With his statement, Schwab reveals what is now an open secret. The concept of «crisis», as understood by the ordinary man and woman, seems to be the exact opposite for the powers that be, who incidentally see it as a good thing. Therefore, to understand what «crisis» means in the world of geopolitics, one must turn the term on its head. If by crisis we understand a time of hardship and peril, which is in everybody's interest to leave behind as quickly as possible, the global elites perceive it as a time of opportunity to advance their own agenda. Thus their reverse motto: *the more crises, the better!*

The elites, as we have shown in numerous issues of ExpressZeitung, are neither afraid to flirt, and openly so, with the idea of a crisis, nor to profit from it, or to manufacture one if need be. The Cyber Polygon exercise does not hide the fact that crises, real or manufactured, provide an edge and constitute an indispensable tool for the ruling elites to keep ahead of their game.

So, the masterminds and their minions don't even try to hide the fact that to them a crisis means a time of great opportunity. This is as clear as daylight. The "**Corona crisis**" was the "catalyst" to usher in digitalisation, as Klaus Schwab explains.

In what way was this pandemic disruptive is not yet clear. The death rates were in fact far below the yearly count in many countries. Moreover, patients who allegedly «died of Covid-19» were, on average, 80 years or older. The health crisis was a direct result of the measures deliberately put in place, as we have shown in issues 32, 38 and 39 (of the German edition). Schwab's hyperbolic public statements about the "pandemic" lacked any scientific authenticity, so it only makes sense that any of his further statements should fall under the same deceptive category and therefore be treated with a healthy amount of scepticism.

Straight from the horse's mouth: The digital transformation has gained momentum thanks

to the “Corona crisis” precipitated by the World Health Organization. A crisis that is without question a catalyst for Schwab and his goals. You can bet your bottom dollar that their next doomsday scenario is looming on the horizon:

The world was brought to a standstill, not by the "disease", but rather by a barrage of draconian measures entrenched in politically relevant science. At this point it wouldn't be far-fetched to think that a cyber-attack could fit their agenda, as was likely the case with "Corona" and the Great Reset. We'll come back to this later. Other experts joining Cyber Polygon predict that an imminent cyber-attack is on the horizon. So, is the World Economic Forum up to its old tricks? One is left with the impression that they are manufacturing a crisis in a brazen attempt to fool us twice.

Tony Blair, former UK Prime Minister, joined in singing from the same hymn sheet as the other participants. The fact that this crisis should serve as a catalyst is unmistakably a source of delight for Tony Blair and his fellow machinators. In the Hegelian scheme of things, what Blair is doing is to call for an antidote in the form of a Digital Identity as part of a coordinated global response to cyber crime. This in accordance with the formula “problem-reaction- solution”, as it was previously done in the case of "Corona".

Let us briefly remind ourselves who Tony Blair is. He was Prime Minister of Great Britain from 1997 to 2007. During this time he subserviently performed, not so much as prime Minister but rather as Baby Bush's little "poodle". Here was a politician who willingly sent British troops to war in Iraq on Washington's orders. In doing so he is responsible for the loss of hundreds of thousands of human lives and for precipitating the enormous wave of migrants that are still beleaguering Europe. Blair was a leading advocate in creating the crisis which he now presents as nothing more than a natural development.

In 2008, it transpired that Blair had accepted a job as a consultant for the American bank JP Morgan Chase & Co with an annual emolument of 2 million pounds. It is not unusual for politicians to receive deferred payment for favours shown whilst they were in office. They call it lobbying, but it's no less than bribery and corruption. Are we to believe that Tony Blair is a man of integrity? Are we seriously to pay heed to his dire warnings? That would indeed be a hard pill to swallow.

Maximum digitalisation means in fact maximum insecurity. Self-driving cars, for instance, are one of the many possibilities, and one of the many dangers, associated with artificial intelligence. If hackers were to cripple the power grid, our world would grind to a halt. It could happen in the blink of an eye. The lives of thousands could be lost in a single hacker attack on Tesla's servers: This could easily be achieved by speeding up the vehicles and deactivating the brakes, clearly an accident waiting to happen. On the one hand, self-driving cars are lauded by those who propose maximum digitalisation, while on the other hand, the foreseeable dangers associated with this development are admitted to without giving the matter any appreciable analysis. Another fine example of Orwellian Double Speak, which patently demonstrates the absurdity underlying Cyber Polygon.

We must not forget that 5G plays a fundamental role in this maelstrom of great changes and new technologies. We are faced with a very real and terrifying scenario, but instead of waking up to the many limitations and hazards posed by digitalisation, the Cyber-Agenda is pushed recklessly full steam ahead. And none of this is done for the greater good, as they will have us believe, for behind the sweet-sounding platitudes hides a greedy pursuit of global supremacy.

In recent months, many prominent figures have compared the Corona crisis to World War II, exactly as expressed at Event 201. Almost every possible comparison has been drawn:

The Great Depression, Bretton Woods, the Marshall Plan, and other defining events associated with the Second World War.

Were the private and big government sectors to merge, one would see the emergence of a monopoly led by a small superclass made up of a close-knit family of enterprises and the State. Should this be the case, they would be redefining the concept of "State" as we know it. We'll come back to this later. Presently, the prime consequence would be that enterprises opposing this agenda, or better said, are not welcomed or able to enter into a close relationship with the State would stand no chance of survival. It would also mean total surveillance of these companies by the State, a fact presented at the exercise with sugar-coated words and spin euphemisms such as "cooperation" and "openness".

This raises an inescapable question: How will the concept of "State" be understood in the future? Will nation states be dissolved once National sovereignty is further surrendered to supranational bodies like the UN? These questions have often been addressed by ExpressZeitung with unblinking gravity. To advance such an ambitious agenda, the perfect "catalyst" would be a crisis of global proportions that can only be solved through international cooperation. All roads lead to Rome: Islamic terrorism, climate change, Corona, Cyber-crime, you name it! The mere mention of cyber-terrorism should throw us into a mad panic, at any rate from Klaus Schwab's perspective, because according to him the COVID-19 crisis would be tantamount to "a minor disturbance in comparison". He tells us that cyber-crime poses an imminent "threat" to our virtual as well as to our analogue existence. After all, the World Wide Web is our new home, a no man's land beset by many dangers. And most importantly, bereft of national borders!

Cyber Polygon makes the point that nation states will not be able to cope with the new digitally-connected world. That's the crux of the exercise: New global institutions are needed to ensure cyber-security. Therefore, according to the participants, a system overhaul is needed in order to enable better global collaboration. And as with "Corona", it is the United Nations that stands out as the global decision-making authority. More specifically, its specialised agency the World Health Organization, which took charge of the "Corona crisis". Likewise, Interpol - a UN sub-organisation and co-organiser of Cyber Polygon - would step up as the governing body in the event of a cyber-attack. Interpol is an organisation that facilitates worldwide police cooperation and crime control with a National Central Bureau in all 194 member states. With a few exceptions, every country in the world is a member of Interpol, as is the case with the World Health Organization. Interpol seems to be warming up to become the uncontested cyber-security heavyweight. It is also important to highlight that the United Nations is to draft an international treaty issuing guidelines and setting standards in cyber- security. The following statements speak for themselves.

As generally happens when it comes to the United Nations the question is never asked as to the ramifications of Internet security being under the total control of one central body, or whether centralisation of power, as it relates to this matter, and which is here promoted, has its own particular downside. Anyone who dares question the concentration of power embedded in the international treaties proposed by the World Economic Forum would be regarded as an ignoramus.

Cyber Polygon operatives present themselves as "the Good Guys" while faceless cybercriminals are referred to as "malicious hackers". The latter are clearly an ever-present threat, who relish the penetration of private systems for monetary gain or have no better excuse than a penchant for mindless vandalism. The threat posed by these criminals, albeit irrefutable, is enough reason to remain sceptical about the digitalisation of

everything. At the end of the day, it all boils down to “the goodies and the baddies”, and as one would expect, Cyber Polygon operatives present themselves as “the Good Guys”. In point of fact, a cyber-security operative and a hacker, within and without the universe of the simulation, share the same set of skills and technical know-how. Therefore, digital systems familiar to “the Good Guys” are soft targets by definition, due to their vulnerability should someone go rogue or decide to change camps for whatever reason. To say these systems are impenetrable would be tenuous at most. We may safely conclude that cybersecurity is nothing but a chimera.

And so the big question must be raised: what is the true nature and purpose of the World Economic Forum and its associates? Are we dealing with altruistic heroes on a quest for the salvation of humanity? Are there not indications in abundance that put this question to rest? Cyber Polygon consistently raises the alarm that the Internet has become a soft target for cybercriminals. Is it not the case, however, that NGO's and billionaires meddling in international politics pose a greater threat than small cybergangs prowling the Internet? Criminal elements, no matter how well structured and coordinated would be hard-pressed to shut down multiple economies at a global scale and ruin the lives of millions of people.

One may as well ask what did criminals contribute toward vaccine development and production? Were they indemnified with billions of taxpayer dollars? The pharmaceutical giants most certainly were. One may also wonder whether a handful of hypothetical delinquents, no matter how organised, would have enough muscle to turn the world population into Guinea pigs. They would certainly need a lot more clout to mandate clinically unsound practices on a global scale like the wearing of face masks, ineffectual testing, and the roll out of experimental vaccines?

We can safely say that the World Economic Forum and its gang of conniving elitists are those who are bringing the world to its knees, both financially and socially. Who else could push the nuclear button of the Great Reset? This is the big elephant in the room that has locked down the majority of the population and is doubtlessly the most dangerous threat the world has ever faced. Will they bring about their self-fulfilling prophecy? Will they unleash their cyberdogs to wreak havoc on the digital infrastructure of entire nations? We heard it straight from the horse's mouth that "crises" and "catalysing events" are the order of the day if their diabolical plans are to be brought to fruition. Should that be the case, the Hegelian solution they have been so patiently waiting for will be finally demanded by all nations, by then traumatised, impoverished and ridden with disease and starvation.

The sphere of cybercrime is a dark environment where techno-savvy operatives can hack into, plunder and devastate their carefully selected targets with nearly total impunity. With very few technically capable experts in this highly specialised field, the detection and prosecution of these criminals would be close to zero. Those specialists who hold positions in organisations like Interpol would find themselves restrained in their investigations by their superiors, who fall under the management of the controlling elite. In such an environment there is no place for rioting and insubordination.

Virology and cybersecurity are two distinctly separate fields, yet they have something in common: they both present the public with an invisible enemy. The world is at the mercy of these dark forces which cannot be vanquished with conventional weapons, so both virologists and cybersecurity experts hold a position of tremendous power over the minds of the people and their governing bodies.

Were a city to suffer a major cyberattack on its power grid, bringing everything to a standstill, the ordinary citizen would have little chance of finding the perpetrators. To add insult to injury, any form of scepticism towards the official narrative would be dismissed as

a conspiracy theory, and dealt with accordingly. Only a handful of corporations control the mainstream media, one of the central pillars of the power structure. As we demonstrated in our video on Event 201, little or no criticism can be expected from those quarters.

The media representative at Event 201 showed us that the job of the corporate media is to establish and strengthen trust with multinationals and governments, and unquestionably push the official narrative, whatever it might be at the time. The fourth estate has become derelict in its duty to investigate, question and demand straight answers.

So much for the press as the Fourth Estate. Any critical reporting on Cyber Polygon will be met with a clampdown on social media, vilified as fake news and censored for good measure.

This is where Vladimir Pozner Jr. comes into the picture. Does the name ring any bells? Vladimir Pozner was an agent of more than 20 years in the KGB's department of disinformation, and his father a die-hard communist. Still on the payroll of the Russian secret service, he accompanied his family when they emigrated to the USA after the Bolshevik Revolution. Whilst in the USA he is reputed to have spied for the Soviets. The fruit doesn't fall far from the tree: Both father and son were active Communist party members. Today, Vladimir Pozner openly speaks about his role as a Soviet propagandist.

The World Economic Forum is not even trying to hide its freedom-hating mindset from the public. This is all too clear when Pozner, a long-time Communist disinfo-agent, is now reset as a "good guy" and a beacon of truth. His presence underlines the totalitarian nature of the exercise. It is also intriguing if one considers the communist long-term strategy anticipated by Soviet defectors: To allow the Soviet Bloc to go under and resurface as Socialism on a global scale. High-ranking members of the KGB were central to this plan of action, so we might as well ask ourselves whether Pozner has an equally important part to play. This topic has been extensively covered in several of our past issues.

The word "regulation" sounds like an echo of Orwell's Ministry of Truth, where all-powerful moderators get to decide what is real information and what is not. As anticipated by the seers of Event 201, hundreds of videos calling out the glaring contradictions of the Covid-19 narrative were swiftly removed from YouTube and entire channels deleted during the crackdown on (quote unquote) "disinformation".

A call for a more muscular approach to cybersecurity is pervasive throughout the exercise. We are told that Cyber Polygon operatives suspected of covert involvement in cyberattacks will be dealt with with equal force and celerity. A thin line separates fiction from reality, allowing for greater leeway to distort information: in the event of disaster, a readily available alibi may come in handy for a cyber debacle to be accounted for as mere coincidence.

An attack would not only be conveniently blamed on faceless assailants, but potential enemies such as Iran or North Korea might also be held accountable. It's all hidden in plain sight: Invisible "hackers" moved by sheer malice remain the focus of the exercise, whilst the organisers conveniently fail to mention the most likely profiteers of a major cyberattack, and quite possibly the masterminds behind it. Incidentally, another Cyber Polygon simulation is scheduled for the ninth of July 2021. We are all waiting with bated breath to find out what the next item on Schwab's agenda will be. Will he flip the kill switch and shut down the world as we know it?. Looking back at previous exercises and drills, like Event 201, their staged catastrophes always happen sooner rather than later.